

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,629		07/25/2003	Steven J. Jackowski	006267.00002	9627
22907	7590	05/24/2005		EXAMINER	
BANNER 1001 G STI			HAMILTON, LALITA M		
SUITE 110		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, D	C 20001	3624		
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/626,629	JACKOWSKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Lalita M Hamilton	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		·					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 February 2005</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-11,13-21 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>3-11,13-21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>3-11,13-21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail (
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	r atent Application (r 10-192)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Application/Control Number: 10/626,629

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

On August 23, 2004, an Office Action was mailed to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-23. On February 23, 2005, the Applicant responded by canceling claims 1-2, 12, and 22 and amending claims 3, 11, 15, 18, and 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-11, 13-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (2002/0095400) in view of Duvaut (2003/0108095).

Johnson discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth in the previous Office Action; however, Johnson does not disclose each account having an upstream and a downstream balance that tracks a wireless network data consumption or imposing a bandwidth limitation on a subscriber of the wireless network responsive to

Application/Control Number: 10/626,629

Art Unit: 3624

either the upstream or downstream balance of the account. Duvaut teaches a system and corresponding method for controlling a network comprising an upstream and a downstream balance that tracks a wireless network data consumption (p.4, 62) and imposing a bandwidth limitation on a subscriber of the wireless network responsive to either the upstream or downstream balance of the account (p.4, 62). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an upstream and a downstream balance that tracks a wireless network data consumption and imposing a bandwidth limitation on a subscriber of the wireless network responsive to either the upstream or downstream balance of the account, as taught by Duvaut into the invention disclosed by Johnson, to regulate data consumption.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-11, 13-21, and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3624

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (8:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LMH